1	EILEEN M. DECKER United States Attorney	
2	PATRICK R. FITZGERALD	
3	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, National Security Division	
4	JAY H. ROBINSON (Cal. Bar No. 230015) MELANIE SARTORIS (Cal. Bar No. 217560)	
5	Assistant United States Attorneys Terrorism and Export Crimes Section	
6	1500 United States Courthouse 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (951) 276-6267 (213) 894-5615	
7		
8	Facsimile: (951) 276-6202 (213) 894-7631	
9	E-mail: jay.robinson@usdo melanie.sartoris@	
10		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
12	ONTIED STATES OF AMERICA	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 16-292-JGB
16	Plaintiff,	ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME
17	v.	PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
18	MARIYA CHERNYKH, TATIANA FAROOK, and	PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE:
19	SYED RAHEEL FAROOK,	March 28, 2017
20	Defendants.	[PROPOSED] STATUS CONFERENCE DATE: March 13, 2017
21		March 13, 2017
22		
23	The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding	
24	Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of	
25	Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the	
26	parties in this matter. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation,	
27	which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order,	

demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the trial date in

this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

- 1. The trial in this matter is continued from November 8, 2016, to March 28, 2017 at 9:00 a.m. The status conference hearing is continued from October 31, 2016, to March 13, 2017 at 2:00 p.m.
- 2. The time period of November 8, 2016 to March 28, 2017, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv).
- 3. Defendants shall appear in Courtroom 1 of the Federal Courthouse, 3470 Twelfth Street, Riverside, California on March 13, 2017 at 2:00 p.m., and March 28, 2017 at 9:00 a.m.
- 4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which trial must

26 | ///

27 || ///

28 | ///

1	commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of
2	the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of
3	additional time periods from the period within which trial must
4	commence.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	- 441
7	October 13, 2016 DATE HONORALE JESUS G. TANAL
8	DATE HONORALE JESUS G. TELNAL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	Presented by:
12	/s/ Melanie Sartoris MELANIE SARTORIS
13	Assistant United States Attorney
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	